Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Molecular Liquids

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/molliq



## Letter to the Editor

# Comments on "Adsorptive removal of cadmium(II) ions from liquid phase using acid modified carbon-based adsorbents"



### Yuh-Shan Ho

Water Research Centre, Asia University, Taichung 41354, Taiwan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 May 2015 Received in revised form 18 December 2015 Accepted 19 December 2015 Available online xxxx

Keywords: Adsorption Kinetics Second-order model

Dear Editor,

Recently, Ihsanullah et al. [1] published the paper entitled "Adsorptive removal of cadmium(II) ions from liquid phase using acid modified carbon-based adsorbents". In the "2.7. Kinetic modelling" section of the original paper, the authors had mentioned that "The adsorption of Cd(II) was analysed using the following pseudofirst-order, second-order and pseudo-second-order rate equations:" by using Eqs. (5) to (7).

Pseudo-first order

$$\log(q_e - q_t)/q_e = -K_L t/2.303 \tag{5}$$

Second order

$$1/(q_e - q_t) = 1/q_e + kt (6)$$

Pseudo-second order

$$t/q_t = 1/2K_sq_e^2 + t/q_e (7)$$

In fact, Eqs. (6) and (7) are the same when constant  $2K_S = k$  [2]. Details are shown as follows:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{q_{e}-q_{t}} &= \frac{1}{q_{e}} + kt \\ \frac{1}{q_{e}-q_{t}} &= \frac{1+kq_{e}t}{q_{e}} \\ q_{e}-q_{t} &= \frac{q_{e}}{1+kq_{e}t} \\ q_{t} &= q_{e} - \frac{q_{e}}{1+kq_{e}t} \\ q_{t} &= \frac{(1+kq_{e}t)q_{e} - q_{e}}{1+kq_{e}t} \\ q_{t} &= \frac{(1+kq_{e}t)q_{e} - q_{e}}{1+kq_{e}t} \\ q_{t} &= \frac{q_{e}+kq_{e}^{2}t - q_{e}}{1+kq_{e}t} \\ q_{t} &= \frac{kq_{e}^{2}t}{1+kq_{e}t} \\ \frac{1}{q_{t}} &= \frac{1}{kq_{e}^{2}t} + \frac{1}{q_{e}} \\ \frac{t}{q_{t}} &= \frac{1}{kq_{e}^{2}} + \frac{t}{q_{e}}. \end{split}$$

Eq. (6) is not second order but it is pseudo-second order kinetic model [2]. Eq. (7) is an incorrect pseudo-second order kinetic model

All related discussion in the original paper [1] would not be appropriate. In order to stop the proliferation of the mistake a comment has been made [5]. This type of error could be avoided if authors have had paid more attention to details about the model from the original paper.

#### References

- [1] F.A. Ihsanullah Al-Khaldi, B. Abusharkh, M. Khaled, M.A. Atieh, M.S. Nasser, T. Iaoui,
  - T.A. Saleh, S. Agarwal, I. Tyagi, V.K. Gupta, J. Mol. Liq. 204 (2015) 255-263.
- Y.S. Ho, McKay, G, Can. J. Chem. Eng. 76 (1998) 822-827.
- [3] Y.S. Ho, Can. J. Chem. Eng. 88 (2010) 693-694.
- [4] Y.S. Ho, J. Hazard. Mater. 136 (2006) 681-689.
- [5] Y.S. Ho, Water Res. 12 (2004) 2962-2964.